EVALUATION CRITERIA	GRAI
Student Attendance Evaluation by Supervisor	5%
Attendance for meetings	
DB Evaluation by Committee Members	15%
<ul> <li>Numbered/Identified Requirements</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Completeness</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Correctness (+redundancy+consistency)</li> </ul>	
• ERD	
<ul> <li>Notation/Style</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Correctness Against Requirements</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Extensibility /Flexibility</li> </ul>	
Relational Schema	
<ul> <li>Relations</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Constraints</li> </ul>	
• Security	
<ul> <li>Users/Roles</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Privileges Of User/Roles</li> </ul>	
Literature Review	5%
• Is the information gathered from multiple, scientific/technical research based sources?	
• Is the written content compatible with the topic? (i.e. processes used to develop the	
project, mention about AI and languages)	
<ul> <li>Is the literature review well organized, demonstrating logical sequencing and structure?</li> </ul>	
Is the information cited properly?	
Project Evaluation by Jury Members	5%
Scope of the project	
Objectives of the project	
Benefits of the proposed system	
Team Organization	
Experienced Difficulties and Risks	
Report Evaluation By Committee Members	20%
Report Format	
o Cover Page	
<ul> <li>Table of Contents</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Font type</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Font size</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Page Numbers</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Figure Numbers</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Overall Consistency/Aesthetics</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Writing and English Quality</li> </ul>	
Report Content	
<ul> <li>Content and Details (contains accurate information)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Organization (written in logical sequence)</li> </ul>	
o References	
<ul> <li>Project Management Plan</li> </ul>	
o Gantt Chart	
<ul> <li>User Interface Sketches</li> </ul>	
Student Evaluation By Jury Members	15%
Self-Confidence	
Knowledge of speaker	
Posnonses to the Questions	

Responses to the QuestionsOrganization of Content/slides

- Clarity of Content
- Quality of content/Slides (appropriate amount of material)
- Presentation Skills/Professionalism
- Support main points
- Language usage (appropriate and professional, no slang)
- Dress/Appearance
- Eye contact, expression and Body composure (poise, posture, gestures)
- Effective use of time given for presentation

## Student Evaluation By Supervisor

10%

- Technical ability/Competence: Capable of completing his/her part of the project, developed the necessary skills throughout the project lifecycle
- Team participation/Interpersonal Skills
- Responsibility/Promptness: Completed all assignments in a timely manner
- Initiative: Leadership, effective decision making
- Quality of Work: The quality of work done is up to standards
- Attitude/Enthusiasm: Projected positive attitude throughout project
- Contributions: Reflected an understanding of the core IT curriculum
- Participation: The level of participation in all phases of the project
- Attendance: How often did the team member attend a group meeting

## **UML Evaluation By Committee Members**

25%

- Use Case Diagram
  - o General Use Case
  - Partial Use Case
  - System Boundary
  - Includes Relationship
  - Extends Relationship
  - Generalization Relationship
- Activity Diagram
  - Swimlane
  - o Fork/Join
  - Normal Flow
  - Alternative Flow
- Use Case Templates
  - Completeness
  - Normal Flow
  - Alternative Flow
  - Numbering
- Domain Class Diagram
  - o Completeness
- Interface Sketches
  - o Completeness
- Project Management Plan & Gantt Chart
  - o Completeness