# CMPE353/CMSE354

### RELATIONAL DATABASE DESIGN EXAMPLES (Ch. 8)

## Problem at hand

We will consider the following set *F* of functional dependencies for relation schema R = (A, B, C, D, E) throughout these examples.

 $F=\{A \rightarrow BC, CD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow A\}$ 

### • Using the attribute closure algorithm below, we find that B<sup>+</sup>=BD $F=\{A \rightarrow BC, CD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow A\}$

$\begin{array}{l} \textit{result} \coloneqq \alpha; \\ \text{while (changes to \textit{result}) do{} \\ \text{for each } \beta \rightarrow \gamma \text{ in } F \text{ do} \\ \text{begin} \\ \text{if } \beta \subseteq \textit{result then} \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} \textit{result} \coloneqq \textit{result} \cup \gamma \\ \textbf{end} \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ \alpha^+ = \textit{result} \end{array}$

```
result=B
result=BD
```

```
B+=BD
```

Remember! This means that the functional dependencies  $B \rightarrow B, B \rightarrow D$  and  $B \rightarrow BD$  are all in F<sup>+</sup> **Ex.2** Is BC a candidate key for R?

- Using the attribute closure algorithm we find that (BC)<sup>+</sup>=ABCDE Since the closure contains all attributes in R, BC is a superkey.
- Now, we test if any subset of BC, that is B or C is a superkey for R? If not, BC will be a candidate key.
  - We already know from Ex.1 that B<sup>+</sup>=BD, so B is not a SK.
  - Using attribute closure algoritm, we find that C<sup>+</sup>=C, so C is not a SK.
  - Since no subset of BC is SK, BC becomes the candidate key!

## Additional information.

- We can show that *A*, *BC*, *CD*, and *E* are all candidate keys for R.
- Try this!

<u>Ex. 3</u> Let R1 = (A, B, C), R2 = (A, D, E). Is this decomposition of R lossless join decomposition?

- We know that a decomposition {R1, R2} is a lossless-join decomposition if R1 ∩ R2 → R1 or R1 ∩ R2 → R2.
  (In other words if R1 ∩ R2 is a superkey for R1 or R2)
- *R*1 ∩ *R*2=A
- We know from slide 5, that A is superkey for R, so it must be a superkey for any decomposition of R. (R1 and R2 in this case)
- So the decomposition is lossless join.
- <u>Alternatively;</u>
  - Use the only functional dependency(FD) in F defined over R1:  $A \rightarrow BC$
  - Compute A<sup>+</sup>=ABC. Since all attributes of R1 are in A<sup>+</sup>, A is a SK for R1.

### <u>**Ex.4</u>** Is R= (A, B, C, D, E) in BCNF given F={A $\rightarrow$ BC, CD $\rightarrow$ E, B $\rightarrow$ D, E $\rightarrow$ A}?</u>

A relation schema *R* is in BCNF with respect to a set *F* of functional dependencies if for all functional dependencies in *F* of the form

 $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ 

where  $\alpha \subseteq R$  and  $\beta \subseteq R$ , at least one of the following holds:

1)  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is trivial (i.e.,  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ ) OR

2)  $\alpha$  is a superkey for *R* 

Ex. 4 Solution Continued

- F={A → BC, CD → E, B → D, E → A}
   We must check each FD to see if iy satisfies cond. 1 or 2.
- If they all satisfy, then R is BCNF.
  - Checking A  $\rightarrow$  BC; we know that A is a SK for R (slide 5); 2 is satisfied.
  - Checking CD  $\rightarrow$  E; we know that CD is a SK for R (slide 5); 2 is satisfied.
  - Checking B  $\rightarrow$  D; B is not SK and is non-trivial. <u>Both 1 and 2</u> are not satisfied.
  - Checking  $E \rightarrow A$ ; we know that E is a SK for R (slide 5); 2 is satisfied.

Since we found at least one FD in F which doesn't satisfy any of the conditions, we conclude that R is not BCNF.

Ex.5 Use BCNF decomposition algorithm once to decompose R in Ex.4 into R1 and R2.

• We decompose *R* into: assume ( $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ )

R1=( $\alpha \cup \beta$ ), R2=(R-( $\beta$ - $\alpha$ )) using the functional dependency which violates BCNF.

• In Ex.4 B  $\rightarrow$  D was the violating FD, so;

```
• R1=(\alpha \cup \beta) =(B U D)=(B,D)
```

• R2 =( R - ( $\beta$  -  $\alpha$ ) )= (ABCDE)-(D-B)=(A,B,C,E)

Output the content of the second s

### <u>**Ex.6</u>** Is R = (A, B, C, D, E) in 3NF given F={A $\rightarrow$ BC, CD $\rightarrow$ E, B $\rightarrow$ D, E $\rightarrow$ A}?</u>

- A relation schema *R* is in **third normal form (3NF)** if for all:
  - $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in  $F^+$ at least one of the following holds:
    - 1)  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  is trivial (i.e.,  $\beta \in \alpha$ )
    - 2)  $\alpha$  is a superkey for *R*
    - 3) Each attribute A in  $\beta \alpha$  is contained in a candidate key for *R*.
      - (NOTE: each attribute may be in a different candidate key)

Ex. 6 solution continued

- We know from Ex.4 that FDs A → BC, CD → E, E → A all satisfy condition 2. But the FD B → D doesn't satisfy 1 or 2. We check if it satisfies condition 3.
- 3) Each attribute A in  $\beta \alpha$  is contained in a candidate key for R

 $\beta - \alpha = D - B = D$ 

So we check if attribute D is in any candidate key for R. We know that CD is a candidate key for R (slide 5). Therefore D is contained in candidate key CD. So condition 3 is satisfied.

- We conclude that R is 3NF.
- Nevertheless we can still give a 3NF decomposition of R (Ex.7 next)

# <u>**Ex.7**</u> Give a 3NF decomposition of R=(A,B,C,D,E) given F={A $\rightarrow$ BC, CD $\rightarrow$ E, B $\rightarrow$ D, E $\rightarrow$ A}

- A simplified version of the 3NF decomposition may be written in the following way:
- Step 1) For each FD  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in F create a Ri= $(\alpha, \beta)$ 
  - Using every FD in F we get: R1=(ABC), R2=(CDE), R3=(BD), R4=(AE)
- Step 2) If none of the previously formed schemas contains a candidate key for R, form an additional Rj to include one of the candidate keys.
  - Since several candidate keys (not just one) are included in R1, R2,R3, R4 we do not need to form an additional R5.
- Step 3) If any schema R<sub>j</sub> is contained in another schema R<sub>k</sub> previosly formed, remove schema R<sub>i</sub>
  - Here we have no such schema, so we do not remove any
- We conclude that, R1=(ABC), R2=(CDE), R3=(BD), R4=(AE) is a 3NF decomposition of R.

<u>**Ex.8**</u> Given F={A  $\rightarrow$  BC, CD  $\rightarrow$  E, B  $\rightarrow$ D, E  $\rightarrow$ A} determine i) if attribute C in CD  $\rightarrow$  E is extraneous ii) if attribute B in A  $\rightarrow$  BC is extraneous

- We use the following tests to check for extraneous attributes:
- Left hand side attribute test:
- To test if attribute A ∈ α is extraneous in α compute ({α} A)<sup>+</sup> using the dependencies in F
  - 1. check that  $(\{\alpha\} A)^+$  contains  $\beta$ ; if it does, A is extraneous in  $\alpha$
- Right hand side attribute test:
- To test if attribute  $A \in \beta$  is extraneous in  $\beta$ 
  - 1. compute  $\alpha^+$  using only the dependencies in  $F' = (F - \{\alpha \rightarrow \beta\}) \cup \{\alpha \rightarrow (\beta - A)\},\$
  - 2. check that  $\alpha^+$  contains *A*; if it does, *A* is extraneous in  $\beta$

Ex. 8 solution continued

### i) is attribute C in CD $\rightarrow$ E is extraneous ?

- Apply LHS and compute CD-C=D<sup>+</sup> using F by attribute closure algorithm.
- D+=D.
- Since it doesn't contain all attributes on the RHS (i.e. attribute E), we conclude that <u>C is not extraneous.</u>

Ex. 8 solution continued

ii) is attribute B in A  $\rightarrow$  BC is extraneous?

- Apply RHS and compute A<sup>+</sup> using F' by attribute closure algorithm.
- $F' = \{A \rightarrow C, CD \rightarrow E, B \rightarrow D, E \rightarrow A\}$
- A → BC is replaced by A → C (i.e. Remove the attribute under test from the FD it belongs to; leave all others unchanged.
- A+=AC
- Since it doesn't contain attribute under test (i.e attribute B) we conclude that <u>B is not extraneous.</u>